



## Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission

TO:

Fax Sender at 6508438777

Fax Information

Date Received:

10/21/2004 11:50:20 AM [Eastern Daylight Time]

Total Pages:

4 (including cover page)

**ADVISORY:** This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received  
Cover  
Page

=====>

OCT-21-2004 09:20

SQUIRE SANDERS DEMPSEY

6508438777 P.01/04

Attorney Docket No.: VN734RI

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of

Worley

Serial No. 09/231,835

Examiner: Too, D.

Filed: January 15, 1999

Group Art Unit 2732

For ASYNCHRONOUS PROCESSOR  
ACCESS TO A SWITCH TABLE  
IN A NETWORK WITH  
ISOCRONOUS CAPABILITY

Mail Stop Reissue  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this document is being faxed to 703-872-9706 on the date indicated below.

### RESPONSE TO AFTER FINAL REJECTION

Sir:

In response to the final office action mailed July 30, 2004, please reexamine the above-identified application in view of the following submission and remarks. Claims 1-140 are in the application and are in condition for allowance except for an objection to the previously-submitted declaration. Accordingly, Applicant submits herewith a newly-executed inventor declaration that specifies at least one error on which this reissue is based. Applicant submits that this submission puts the application in condition for allowance and such is respectfully requested. No new matter has been added. Please contact Applicant's attorney immediately if there are any questions.

Please charge any additional fees due, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-

0251

Respectfully submitted,

*Alan R. Loudermilk*  
Alan R. Loudermilk  
Registration No. 32,788  
Attorney for Applicant(s)

October 21, 2004  
Loudermilk & Associates  
P.O. Box 5607  
Los Altos, CA 94024-0607  
(408-568-1516)

received  
OCT 21 AM 9:07

PAGE 14 \* RCVD AT 10/21/2004 11:50:20 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/26 \* DNIS:2732986 \* CSID:6508438777 \* DURATION (mm-ss):03-36



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. 19

LOUDERMILK & ASSOCIATES  
PO BOX 3607  
LOS ALTOS CA 94024-0607

COPY MAILED

MAY 13 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re reissue Application of  
Debra J. Worsley, Michael T.  
Werstlein, and Richard W. Thaik  
Application No. 09/231,855  
Filed: January 14, 1999  
Attorney Docket No. VN734

DECISION DISMISSING DECISION

This is in response to the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.183, filed April 23, 2003, requesting a waiver of the requirement that all the inventors sign the supplemental reissue declaration.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.183 is GRANTED.

The above-identified reissue application was filed on January 14, 1999, without an executed declaration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63. Accordingly, the Initial Patent Examination Division mailed a "Notice to File Missing Parts of Application" on February 25, 1999. In reply, applicant filed a declaration executed by all of the inventors on June 28, 1999. In a non-final Office action mailed on April 8, 2002, the Examiner required a supplemental declaration.

In reply, applicant filed an amendment on September 10, 2002. To make timely this reply, applicant included a Certificate of Mailing dated September 4, 2002 and obtained a two month extension of time. In addition, applicant filed a petition under 37 CFR 1.47 on October 9, 2002.<sup>1</sup> Accompanying the petition was a supplemental reissue declaration signed by joint inventors Debra J. Worsley and Michael T. Werstlein, but lacking a signature from joint inventor Richard W. Thaik.

37 CFR 1.67 states, in pertinent part:

(a) The Office may require, or inventors and applicants may submit, a supplemental oath or declaration meeting the requirements of §1.63 or § 1.162 to correct any deficiencies or inaccuracies present in the earlier filed oath or declaration.

<sup>1</sup> A petition under 37 CFR 1.47 only applies to the original oath or declaration. Here, applicant has already filed a declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63 on June 28, 1999.

Application No. 09/231,855

Page 2

(1) Deficiencies or inaccuracies relating to all the inventors or applicants (§§ 1.42, 1.43, or § 1.47 may be corrected with a supplemental oath or declaration signed by all the inventors or applicants.

In addition, 37 CFR 1.175 states that a reissue declaration must be signed by all of the inventors.<sup>2</sup>

With the petition filed October 9, 2002, applicant set forth the steps taken to find joint inventor Thaik. Attorney Alan Loudermilk attested that he performed Internet searches, made calls to Thaik's past employer, and mailed letters to the two last known addresses of Thaik which were never returned.

In order for a petition under 37 CFR 1.183 to be granted, petitioner must demonstrate that this is an extraordinary situation where justice requires waiver of the rules.

The supplemental reissue declaration was required to be signed by joint inventors Worsley, Werstlein, and Thaik. However, only Worsley and Werstlein executed the declaration. Petitioner has demonstrated that a *bona fide* effort was made to locate Thaik. Accordingly, it is concluded that petitioner has demonstrated that this is an extraordinary situation requiring waiver of the rules.

However, the petition was dismissed in a decision mailed on April 2, 2003. The declaration did not comply with 37 CFR 1.63(a)(3) because it failed to identify the citizenship of Thaik. In addition, the declaration did not comply with 37 CFR 1.63(c)(1) because it did not identify the residence of Thaik.

On renewed petition, petitioner has submitted a supplemental reissue declaration identifying the citizenship and residence of Thaik.

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center 2600 for consideration of applicant's amendment, filed September 10, 2002.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to Petitions Attorney Cliff Congo at (703) 305-0272.

*Christina Lartera Donnell for*

Beverly M. Flanagan  
Supervisory Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

<sup>2</sup> See also MPEP 114.01 (stating that a supplemental reissue oath or declaration in a broadening reissue must be signed by all the inventors).